

SHERIFF S. DUANE LEWIS

BERKELEY COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

2015 ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS PERFORMED BY THE OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Berkeley County Sheriff's Office Policy

"It is the policy of the agency to accept all complaints of alleged employee misconduct; to conduct a fair and impartial investigation of the complaint; to determine whether the complaint is valid or invalid; and, where indicated, take appropriate action."

Category Definitions

1	Participation	in Crimina	l Actions on	or off duty
,	i di delpadoli	III CIIIIIIIIII	TICLIOIIS OII	or off auty

II Excessive Force

III Unlawful arrest, search or seizure

IV Harassment – Improper police action based on discrimination

V Demeanor – Offensive or abusive language, actions which have the appearance of a conflict of interest.

VI Serious Policy Violation – Insubordination, false statements, improper activities on or off duty, ect.

VII Minor Policy Violations - Tardiness, uniform or vehicle appearance, ect.

VIII Miscellaneous – All other

2015 Annual Statistical Summary

Page 2

Category				IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	Total
2015	2	3	4	0	4	4	1	0	18
2014	1	1	0	0	4	7	4	0	17
2013	0	4	2	0	12	2	3	0	23

Findings Definitions

Not Involved - The alleged activity or conduct did not involve agency personnel.

Unfounded - The allegation is false or not factual.

Not Sustained - There is insufficient evidence either to prove or disprove the allegation.

Exonerated - The incident occurred, but was lawful and proper.

Sustained - The allegation is supported by sufficient evidence to justify a reasonable conclusion that the allegation is factual.

Findings

Year	Not	Unfounded	Not	Exonerated	Sustained	Pending	Total
	Involved		Sustained				
2015	0	2	4	4	3	5	18
2014	0	10	2	0	4	1	17
2013	0	11	4	1	6	1	23

Corrective Action

Year	No Action	Counseling	Letter of Reprimand	Letter of Suspension	Demotion	Termination / Resignation	Pending	Total
2015	10	0	1	0	0	2	5	18
2014	12	0	1	2	0	1	1	17
2013	16	3	1	0	0	2	1	23

Notes: Terminations in 2013 and 2014 were corrections officers. One Letter of Reprimand in 2013 was for a civilian. All other corrective actions were issued to sworn deputies.